

DIRECCIÓN DE SERVICIOS DE INFORMACIÓN Y ANÁLISIS ESPECIALIZADOS
SUBDIRECCIÓN DE REFERENCIA ESPECIALIZADA

ALERTA HEMEROGRÁFICA

No. 319

OCTUBRE 2022



Título: *Conditional Congressional communication: how elite speech varies across medium.*

Autor: Rachel Blum, Lindsey Cormack and Kelsey Shoub.

Revista: Political Science Research and Methods 2022, page 1 – 8.

Editorial: European Political Science Association (EPSA): Cambridge University Press.

ISSN: 2049-8489 (Online)

Versión electrónica: <https://bit.ly/3DoPjkt>

Nota: Disponible para consulta en los servicios las Bases de Datos Institucionales para la Cámara de Diputados.

Si usted desea adquirir la publicación, favor de dirigirse a: Cambridge University Press

University Printing House, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8BS, United Kingdom.

Página web: information@cambridge.org

Teléfono: +44 (0) 1223 358 331

ABSTRACT:

Elected representatives have more means of public-facing communication at their disposal than ever before. Several studies examine how representatives use individual mediums, but we lack a baseline understanding of legislators' relative use patterns across platforms. Using a novel data set of the four most widely used forms of written, constituent-facing communication (press releases, e-newsletters, Facebook posts, and Twitter tweets) by members of the US House of Representatives in the 114th (2015–2017), 115th (2017–2019), and 116th (2019–2021) Congresses, we generate a baseline understanding of how representatives communicate across mediums. Our analyses show that institutional, legislator, and district characteristics correspond with differential use of mediums. These findings underscore why medium choice matters, clarifying how a researcher's choice of mediums might amplify the voices of certain legislators and dampen those of others. In addition, they provide guidance to other researchers on how to select the medium(s) that best correspond with different research aims.

SUMMARY:

Abstract	1
1. Factors informing communication	2
2. Data on public communication	3
2.1 Summary of variables	3
Table 1. Average yearly use rates by medium and member for the 114th, 115th, and 116th congresses)	4
3. Results and implications	4
Figure 1. Coefficient plot for each of the four OLS regressions.	5
4. Discussion and conclusion	6
References	7