



**CÁMARA DE  
DIPUTADOS**  
LXIV LEGISLATURA

Secretaría General  
Secretaría de Servicios Parlamentarios  
Coordinación de Servicios de Información, Bibliotecas y Museo  
Dirección de Servicios de Información y Análisis Especializado  
**Subdirección de Referencia Especializada**

**ALERTA**

**BIBLIOGRAFICA**  
**NO. 189**  
**Enero de 2021**



**TÍTULO:** Parliaments and independent oversight institutions. Global and country-specific analysis of parliaments' relationships with Supreme Audit, Anti-Corruption and Human Rights Institutions

**AUTOR:** Jonathan Murphy and Franklin De Vrieze

**EDITORIAL:** Londres : Westminster Foundation for Democracy (WFD), Foreign & Commonwealth Office, 2020, 75 p

**VERSIÓN ELECTRÓNICA**

<https://bit.ly/3a1IXYs>

Si usted desea adquirir la publicación, favor de dirigirse a: Westminster Foundation for Democracy  
Artillery House, 11-19 Artillery Row,  
London SW1P 1RT  
Pág. Web: [www.wfd.org](http://www.wfd.org)  
[@WFD\\_Democracy](https://twitter.com/WFD_Democracy)

Pulsa el siguiente link para contestar un diagnóstico de la utilidad de Alerta:  
[https://cutt.ly/SIAE\\_Alertas](https://cutt.ly/SIAE_Alertas)



# ALERTA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Preface	7
2. Introduction	10
3. Independent Oversight Institutions and Parliaments	14
A. Parliaments, democracy, and the growth of independent oversight institutions	14
B. Situating independent oversight institutions	17
I. Diverse models, divergent practices	17
II. Where do – and where should – independent oversight institutions ‘sit’?	17
III. Protecting oversight institutions from parliamentary or government interference	19
IV. The case for a close relationship between parliaments and independent oversight institutions	19
V. What does independence mean?	21
VI. Formal autonomy, informal control	22
4. Country case studies	24
A. Canada	26
B. Tunisia	27
C. South Africa	29
D. Summary descriptions of other country oversight frameworks	31
5. Comparing Independent oversight institutions by sector	34
A. Supreme Audit Institutions	36
I. Ireland	38



# ALERTA

II. Canada	38
B. Anti-Corruption institutions	40
I. Lithuania	41
II. Slovenia	42
III. Tunisia	42
C. Human rights institutions	43
I. Ukraine	44
II. Germany	47
III. United Kingdom	52
6. Conclusion and Recommendations	52
I. Conclusion	52
II. Recommendations	55
7. Bibliography	60
8. About the authors	66